



MULTI-LEVEL USER MODELING IN GIFT TO SUPPORT COMPLEX LEARNING TASKS

Ramkumar Rajendran¹, Naveeduddin Mohammed¹, Gautam Biswas¹, Benjamin S. Goldberg², Robert A. Sottilare² ¹Vanderbilt University, U.S.A ²Army Research Lab, U.S.A



VANDERBILT

- Outline
- Introduction
- UrbanSim
- Hierarchical Task Model
- Multi-level Hierarchical User Model
- Competence and Trend
- Instructional Strategies
- Implementation of Multi-level user Model in UrbanSim





- Subtask solutions generates overall solution
- Requires advanced reasoning, thinking, and decision making skills
- Can be problematic for novice learners
 - To Provide personalized and adaptive feedback, our goal is to extend the user models in GIFT system.

Domain Module	User Module	Pedagogical Module
DKF Structure	Performance measure	Instructional Strategy
Tasks & Concepts	Assessment	Priority



UrbanSim





Our environment: UrbanSim

- UrbanSim is a game-based learning environment to teach counterinsurgency operations to Army training officers.
- Developed by Institute of Creative Technologies, University of Southern California and U.S. Army Research Lab.
- UrbanSim responds to user's actions based on the stories created by U.S. Army battalion commanders







- COIN efforts are directed to a final goal, that is
 - Make Host-nation government stand on its own
 - Create institutions necessary to provide governance and support economic development
 - Host-nation Governments should have the final responsibility to solve their own problems.
- The doctrine adopted by US Army to implement COIN operations is Clear-Hold-Build (CHB)
 - Clear military forces *clear* an area of insurgents.
 - Hold focus on *holding* the cleared area and preventing further insurgent infiltration.
 - Build focus on *building* up the area's government, police forces, and infrastructure such that the local population is able to safeguard the area independently, develop local governance, and focus on economic improvement.







UrbanSim

UrbanSim







UrbanSim







Extending the User Model in GIFT Domain Module (DKF)





- Task model provides a successive, hierarchical breakdown of the primary tasks (learning goals) into their component subtasks in the learning environment (LE)
- At the lowest levels of the hierarchy, the tasks are linked to the observable actions in the LE.







- Cognitive skills linked to user's observable actions through which they can accomplish sub tasks and tasks toward achieving their overall problem solving goals
- Strategies Conditional describes when a sub-task or actions (cognitive skills) or even a sequence of cognitive skills should be invoked to work toward a problem solving task
 - Strategies help the user formulate partial plans or refine an existing plan step (sub goal) to more detailed sequence of steps (or skills)
- Metacognitive process covers goal setting, planning, monitoring, evaluation and reflection
 - Difficult to track because lot of the thinking related to these activities happens in users' heads





Proposed Multi-level Hierarchical User Model





Task Model for UrbanSim

- Actions: users' observable interaction with UrbanSim
- UrbanSim permits 43 different actions related to acquiring information in the COIN scenario.







Learning Measures in UrbanSim

- Learning Goal: Learn COIN CHB Doctrine
 - LOE measure of students' adherence to the Brigade Commander's intent.
 - Clear Insurgents, Build Infrastructure

Learning Behaviors:

- Skills e.g. interpret, apply and reasoning
- Strategies In order to achieve successful COIN doctrine
 - CHB Strategy how well the regions are transferred from clear to hold to build phase.
 - Situational Awareness ability to identify and interpret key information in AO to develop a common operating picture (COP).
 - Trade-off analysis a measure of decision making for choosing current operations, given limited resources such as CERP funds, and units.
 - Second and third-order effects analyzing and predicting the effects of operations that are compatible with a prescribed end goal.
- Metacognition Awareness, Goal setting, Planning, Monitoring progress, and Reflecting





GIFT User Module

Performance measures





Performance Metrics in Hierarchical User Model

- In complex environments, users' perform multiple tasks, therefore, use skills and strategies multiple times
 - We need to accumulate user's performance over a period of time; Use two measures: **Competence**: $C_t = C_{t-1} + f$ (observable actions) : user's competence accumulated measure **Trend**: $T = f((C_t - C_{t-1}), (C_{t-1} - C_{t-2}))$: trend measures recent changes in competence







- Competence on cognitive skills are measured based user's interaction with UrbanSim.
 - C_i (Identify) = C_{i-1} (identify) + f (Read mission Plan action, LoE, CCIR, View Intel Reports)
- Learning Goal: Learn COIN CHB Doctrine
 - Sub goals: Clear insurgents, improve collision support with local government, improve the infrastructure, repair utilities, Improve ISF Military power (MP).
 - Competence (Clear Insurgents) = Avg (MP (insurgent groups))
 - *MP*_t = Avg (*MP* (JAAS), *MP* (Kurdish Raiders), *MP* (Shiite Death Squads), *MP* (Al-Qassas Brigade)
 - Competence on learning goals are extracted form log files.





Cognitive skill – Evaluate at Turn number 5. Current C (Evaluate) = 0.7

C (Evaluate)₅= C (Evaluate)₄ + f (Request Trends, Request Population trend analysis, request LoE trend analysis, Set LoE Button)

for actions at turn 5.

If action in (Request Trends, Request Population trend analysis, request LoE trend analasis, Set LoE Button) and time > 10 seconds

ActionCounter++; - 5 If performance of $LoEs_5$!= $LoEs_4$ then - Yes C (Evaluate)₅ = avg (0.7 + ActionCounter/7) - avg (0.7 + 0.714) = 0.707

- Cognitive Strategy Situational Awareness (SA). C(SA) = 0.6
 - C (SA)₅ = C (SA)₄ + f (Performance in CS and EF, C (identify, Interpret, Apply, Evaluate and Devise))
 - Performance in CS and EF = 0.6, 0.53 > 0.5
 - C (SA)₅ = avg (0.6 + avg (0.63, 0.72, 0.5, 0.707, 0.625) = avg (0.6, 0.634) = 0.617





Instructional Strategy

Pedagogical Module





Instructional Strategy for multi-level user model

If user requires feedback: At what level should we provide feedback to user? What should be the topic of the feedback?

Analyze C and T values of child nodes and picks the child node that has the least competence and a negative or flat trend



If the user's cognitive skills are above threshold then the algorithm focuses on the higher-level selected strategy for feedback.





Implementation of Multi-level user Model in UrbanSim



DKF Authoring Tool

VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY

1 DKF Authoring Tool : UrbanSimDKF.dkf.xml) <u>x</u>	
File View Help		
Edit XML document		
	Ê	
array (1unbounded) of task		
Metacognitive Processes		
V R name Urban Sim Task		
startTriggers		
NS: E E endTriggers		
Image:		
Concepts		
I with array (1-unbounded) of concept		
Problem Solving		
Concept		
Image: Weight of the second secon		
Piority		
Choice: concepts Concepts		
array (1unbounded) of concept		
Cognitive Skills		
I I I I assessments		
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		
priorityMetric		
Dame="SituationAwareness Concept" nodeld="5" concepts		
assessments	_	
		23
Folder:		





Name	ld	Status	Assessment	Confidence	Competen	Trend	Priority	Time
CapacitySA Concept	8	running	Unknown	1.0	1.0	1.0	6	21:52:29:601 CDT 05:08:201
CoalitionSupportSA Con	9	running	Unknown	1.0	1.0	1.0	7	21:52:29:622 CDT 05:08:201
EffectivenessSA Concept	10	running	Unknown	1.0	1.0	1.0	8	21:52:29:639 CDT 05:08:201
InsurgentMiliSA Concept	11	running	Unknown	1.0	1.0	1.0	9	21:52:29:661 CDT 05:08:201
MilitarySA Concept	12	running	Unknown	1.0	1.0	1.0	10	21:52:29:686 CDT 05:08:201
SituationalAwareness C	13	running	Unknown	1.0	1.0	1.0	11	21:52:29:717 CDT 05:08:201
Clear Concept	14	running	Unknown	1.0	1.0	1.0	12	21:52:29:732 CDT 05:08:201
Hold Concept	15	running	Unknown	1.0	1.0	1.0	13	21:52:29:751 CDT 05:08:201
[*] Build Concept	16	running	Unknown	1.0	1.0	1.0	14	21:52:29:768 CDT 05:08:20*

• Tool to monitor all performance metrics throughout the simulation

UrbanSim



Performance Node Status Tool - UrbanSim

Name	ld	Status	Assessment	Confidence	Competence	Trend	Priority	Time	2
CapacitySA Conc	8	running	Unknown	0.0	0.642857193	-0.35714280	2	22:15:44:761 CDT 05:08:2017	-
CoalitionSupport	9	running	Unknown	0.0	0.656800031	-0.34319996	3	22:15:44:761 CDT 05:08:2017	
FifectivenessSA	10	running	Unknown	0.0	0.383333325	-0.61666667	4	22:15:44:761 CDT 05:08:2017	
Y InsurgentMiliSA	11	running	Unknown	1.0	0.287500023	-0.71249997	1	22:15:44:761 CDT 05:08:2017	
🞸 MilitarySA Concept	12	running	Unknown	0.0	0.180000007	-0.81999999	5	22:15:44:761 CDT 05:08:2017	
SituationalAware	13	running	Unknown	0.0	0.287500023	-0.71249997	6	22:15:44:761 CDT 05:08:2017	-
Clear Concept	14	running	Unknown	1.0	1.0	1.0	7	22:15:44:761 CDT 05:08:2017	
Y Hold Concept	15	running	Unknown	0.0	0.300000011	-0.69999998	8	22:15:44:761 CDT 05:08:2017	
P Build Concept	16	running	Unknown	0.0	0.300000011	-0.69999998	9	22:15:44:761 CDT 05:08:2017	-



🎁 Performance Node Status Tool - UrbanSim

- 🗆 🗙

Name	Id	Status	Assessment	Confidence	Competence	Trend	Priority	Time	
CapacitySA Conc	8	running	Unknown	0.0	0.630952417	-0.01190477	2	22:19:02:489 CDT 05:08:2017	-
CoalitionSupport	9	running	Unknown	0.0	0.705300033	0.048500001	3	22:19:02:489 CDT 05:08:2017	
FilectivenessSA	10	running	Unknown	0.0	0.383333325	0.0	4	22:19:02:489 CDT 05:08:2017	
V InsurgentMiliSA	11	running	Unknown	1.0	0.242500007	-0.04500001	1	22:19:02:490 CDT 05:08:2017	
Y MilitarySA Concept	12	running	Unknown	0.0	0.229999989	0.049999982	5	22:19:02:490 CDT 05:08:2017	
SituationalAware	13	running	Unknown	0.0	0.242500007	-0.04500001	6	22:19:02:490 CDT 05:08:2017	-
Clear Concept	14	running	Unknown	1.0	1.0	1.0	7	22:15:44:761 CDT 05:08:2017	
V Hold Concept	15	running	Unknown	0.0	0.300000011	0.0	8	22:19:02:490 CDT 05:08:2017	
P Build Concept	16	running	Unknown	0.0	0.300000011	0.0	9	22:19:02:491 CDT 05:08:2017	-

Feedback:

- The current feedback is based on priority and Assessment as displayed(the concept with the least priority and least Assessment is chosen for feedback.
- But, when applied to a hierarchical structure has its limitations as it cannot be applied across different levels of DKF.
- Hence requirement for a recursive algorithm based on competence and trend.



Name	ld	Status	Assessment	Confidence	Competence	Trend	Priority	Time	1
CapacitySA Conc	8	running	BelowExpectation	0.0	0.630952417	0.0	5	22:24:35:812 CDT 05:08:2017	-
CoalitionSupport	9	running	AtExpectation	1.0	0.666299998	0.009499967	6	22:24:35:812 CDT 05:08:2017	
℃ EffectivenessSA	10	running	Unknown	1.0	0.383333325	0.0	4	22:24:35:812 CDT 05:08:2017	
V InsurgentMiliSA	11	running	AtExpectation	0.0	0.197499990	-0.04500001	2	22:24:35:812 CDT 05:08:2017	
🏹 MilitarySA Concept	12	running	AtExpectation	0.0	0.229999989	0.049999982	3	22:24:35:812 CDT 05:08:2017	
`♀´ SituationalAware	13	running	AboveExpectation	1.0	0.40000005	0.157499998	11	22:24:35:812 CDT 05:08:2017	
° Clear Concept	14	running	Unknown	1.0	1.0	1.0	12	22:24:35:812 CDT 05:08:2017	
V Hold Concept	15	running	Unknown	0.0	0.30000011	0.0	13	22:24:35:812 CDT 05:08:2017	
V Build Concept	16	running	Unknown	0.0	0.300000011	0.0	14	22:24:35:812 CDT 05:08:2017	











Future Work

- How to identify metacognitive processes?
 - May require dialog with users & user responses will be used along with strategy competence to update metacognitive process competence and trends
 - How do we implement this in the GIFT framework?
- Analyze the discussion among participants in each group.

Thank You